

Validation of k_{eff} Calculations for Extended BWR Burnup Credit Calculations

B.J. Marshall
Justin Clarity
Steve Bowman

ANS Annual Meeting
Minneapolis, MN
June 13, 2019

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

Outline

- Application models
- Generation of sensitivity data
- Critical experiments considered and potentially applicable experiments
- Bias and bias uncertainty estimates
- Reactivity margins for unvalidated isotopes
- Conclusions

Application models

- GBC-68 generic BWR cask used with fuel at 2 different discharged burnups and 2 different isotope sets
- Burnups of 25 and 50 GWd/MTU considered
 - 25 GWd/MTU is above peak reactivity
 - 50 GWd/MTU is a little above typical discharge burnup
- Actinide only (AO) and actinide and fission product (AFP) isotope sets
 - AO includes 9 actinides and O-16
 - AFP adds 16 fission products and 3 minor actinides

Generation of sensitivity data

- SDFs generated using both CLUTCH CE TSUNAMI-3D and MG TSUNAMI-3D
 - Demonstrate accuracy of both approaches
- Compared SDFs with both c_k and E integral parameters
 - c_k uses covariance weighting, E does not
- For all 4 cases, c_k was above 0.98 and E was above 0.99
- Deficiency for ^{56}Fe sensitivity in steel basket identified in MG TSUNAMI-3D results, but very small impact overall
- CE-generated SDFs used for similarity assessments

Critical experiments considered

- 1643 LEU and MIX critical experiments considered
- Suite had previously been generated for earlier BWR BUC peak reactivity work
- Includes:
 - Everything from NUREG/CR-7109 except French fission product cases
 - HTC experiments included in this effort
 - Everything in the VALID suite
 - NEA-generated LCT, MCT, and MST SDFs
 - Total includes over 1100 LEU and over 475 MIX experiments

Potentially applicable experiments

- TSUNAMI-IP in SCALE 6.2.2 used for similarity assessment
 - 56-group covariance library based on ENDF/B-VII.1 and other sources
- A variety of LCT and HTC experiments identified as potentially applicable
- Potential for correlations among HTC cases and among the limited number of LCT evaluations as well

Burnup	Isotope Set	LCT Exps.	HTC Exps.	Total
25 GWd/MTU	AO	71	101	172
	AFP	0	68	68
50 GWd/MTU	AO	26	147	173
	AFP	0	126	126

Bias and bias uncertainty estimates

- Bias and bias uncertainty values generated for 4 applications
- Values determined using inverse variance weighted nontrending technique and trends on EALF and c_k

Burnup GWd/MTU	Isotope Set	Nontrending		EALF Trend		c_k Trend	
		Bias	Bias Unc	Bias	Bias Unc	Bias	Bias Unc
25	AO	-0.00172	0.00530	-0.00182	0.00649	-0.00674	0.00762
	AFP	-0.00236	0.00672	0.00044	0.00724	-0.00050	0.01556
50	AO	-0.00173	0.00581	-0.00206	0.00646	-0.00132	0.00562
	AFP	-0.00132	0.00562	-0.00120	0.00680	-0.00502	0.00723

Reactivity margins for unvalidated isotopes

- No experiments contained fission products of minor actinides
 - Penalties (reactivity margins) needed to cover unvalidated isotopes
- Models with residual Gd BA need factor for ^{155}Gd
 - Gd absorption in $^{\text{Nat}}\text{Gd}$ dominated by ^{157}Gd
 - Separate factors developed for ^{155}Gd and remaining FPs and MAs
 - ^{155}Gd factor: 0.59% at 25 GWd/MTU and 0.60% at 50 GWd/MTU
 - FP & MA factor: 1.37% at 25 GWd/MTU and 1.27% at 50 GWd/MTU
- No residual Gd penalty needed if residual BA material not credited
 - Margin ranges from 0.97% at 20 GWd/MTU to 1.03% at 50 GWd/MTU

Conclusions

- Sufficient critical experiments are available for validation of extended BWR BUC k_{eff} calculations
- Accurate sensitivities can be generated with both MG and CE TSUNAMI-3D
- Validation accomplished with LCT and/or HTC experiments
- Bias generally around $-0.2\% \Delta k \pm 0.6\% \Delta k$
- Reactivity margins generated for unvalidated fission products, minor actinides, and residual BA ^{155}Gd

Thanks to the NRC Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research for supporting the BWR BUC project, and the DOE NCSP for supporting the preparation and presentation of this paper.

Are there any questions?