



Lessons Learned from Crediting Safety Programs for NCS

T.C. Lovelace

Tyler.Lovelace@cns.doe.gov

Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC

UNCLASSIFIED

This document has been reviewed by a Y-12 DC/UCNI-RO and has been determined to be UNCLASSIFIED and contains no UCNI. This review does not constitute clearance for public release.

Name:

Date:

Safety Programs at Y-12

Examples of the 13 Safety Programs that NCS can credit include:

- Large Geometry Exclusion Areas (LGEA)
 - Small geometry items/equipment in chemical processing areas.
- Inadvertent Accumulation Prevention Program (IAPP)
 - Team of Subject Matter Expert (SMEs) determine ways to prevent uranium accumulations in unfavorable geometries.
- Uranium Holdup Survey Program
 - Non-destructive Analysis techniques employed to determine uranium holdup in hard to reach/observe areas (e.g., ventilation).
- Configuration Management
 - Equipment and documentation tied to safety systems and/or processing systems are maintained to certain specifications.
- Conduct of Operations
 - Prescribes how work is accomplished (e.g., procedural requirements, training, etc).

Ownership of Safety Programs

- Large Geometry Exclusion Area
 - Primary Criticality Safety Officer (CSO)
 - Secondary NCS
- Inadvertent Accumulation Prevention Program
 - Primary NCS
 - Secondary CSO
- Uranium Holdup Survey Program
 - Primary NDA Engineering
 - Secondary NCS and NMC&A
- Configuration Management
 - Primary Production Operations
- Conduct of Operations
 - Primary Production Operations

What is the Large Geometry Exclusion Area (LGEA) Program?

 A program that NCS relies on at Y-12 that prevents large geometry equipment from being brought into chemical processing areas without

NCS approval.

Large electrical boxes

Cabinets

Foam padded chairs

Drums



Background for the LGEA Program

- 1958 Criticality accident
- 1958-1990s
 - Restriction on geometries
 - Reliance on NCSE judgement
 - Limited controls
- 1990s to 2000s
 - Formality established
 - Documented information
 - Drain holes
 - Floor elevation
 - Berm heights



Background for the LGEA Program

- 2000s to Present
 - Very minor changes for 20 years to the formal program documentation
 - NCSE judgement still a large factor for justification for safety
 - Formal training developed for all people with access to LGEAs
 - Formally placed on Configuration Management documentation



Issues Identified through LGEA Program Review

- Roles and responsibilities for the LGEA program were not understood by all required disciplines.
- LGEA program was not kept up to same standards as other NCS documentation.
 - Informal LGEA practices not placed into LGEA program
 - Holes in walls (e.g., bolt hole not filled in after maintenance)
 - Inspections/Oversight
 - Maintenance activities
 - Limit engineering judgement
- Baseline of all LGEAs was not performed with each change to the program.
 - Lack of fixed and portable equipment being identified and uniquely labeled
 - Over 200 items not identified in the LGEA equipment list

Lack of changes to program due to unclear roles and responsibilities propagated issues with documentation and oversight.

IAPP Program

- Team of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) for a given process determine the past method of preventing accumulation of fissile material from occurring.
 - Performed through walk downs, inspections, and NDA measurements.
- Formal report documents the SMEs findings and any recommendations from the SMEs.
 - Recommendations are reviewed by a council made up of NCS, CSO, Engineering, and Production Operations Management for implementation of the recommendation.
- Accumulation is typically prevented by housekeeping and filtration.
- Nondestructive monitoring is used to keep accumulations within subcritical limits for areas that cannot be observed (e.g., ventilation).

Background for the IAPP Program

- Long established UHSP for accountability of fissile material
- 2007 Dollinger Filter accumulation issue
 - 2,200 grams unexpectedly held up in filter housing
- IAPP established to prevent unexpected accumulation issues from being identified.
 - IAPPs recommendations were placed into an issues tracking system
 - Only the most significant issues remained in the tracking system (most issues removed or corrected)
- 2017 Reduction and Casting accumulation issues
 - Reduction 2018 Winter ANS Paper "Concurrent Uranium Overmass and Hydraulic Fluid Leak"
 - Casting 2019 ICNC Paper "Uranium Accumulations in Casting Operations"
- IAPPs were reevaluated
 - All recommendations were placed in an issues tracking system

Accumulation Issues





Issues Identified through Accumulation Issues

- IAPP was not kept up-to-date
 - Recommendations not fully addressed
 - Continual NCS oversight of program not performed
- Process change led to out of date IAPP Reports
 - No emphasis to perform IAPP walk downs periodically or when processes changed
- Lack of IAPP Awareness
 - No formal training for all organizations that have a defined role.

Lack of program ownership led to recommendations not being addressed and program being maintained.

Uranium Holdup Survey Program

- Established as a non-destructive assay method to monitor accumulations of fissile material for accountability purposes.
- NCS uses data to aid in CSE evaluations and establishing cleanout limits.
- UHSP went through an assessment as part of a management directed review of credited programs.
 - Contrary to IAPP and LGEA the UHSP program had multiple strengths.
 - Training of operators by NDA Engineering personnel was continuously occurring.
 - Data from monitoring and the program was continuously updated to ensure the UHSP program is responsive to changes
 - Clear roles and responsibility established through strong communication from the NDA Engineering organization.
 - Minimal opportunities for improvement or weaknesses identified.

Program has clear ownership which propagates better understanding, training, and continuous improvement.

Lessons Learned

- Update documentation for safety programs
- Clear roles and responsibilities.
- NCS needs to provide oversight of every credited program
- Ensure that programmatic changes are vetted prior to implementation.

With clear roles and responsibilities safety programs will stand a greater chance at being kept up to date and continuous improvement maintained.

Corrective Actions Applied for Lessons Learned

- Update documentation for safety programs
 - Programmatic changes have been made to ensure safety program reliance
- Clear roles and responsibilities.
 - Training updates
- NCS needs to provide oversight of every credited program
 - Formal assessments established
- Ensure that programmatic changes are vetted prior to implementation.
 - LGEA reviews by SMEs

With programmatic changes happening the IAPP and LGEA programs will be on the right path for sustained success and continuous improvement.

Any Questions?



Disclaimer

This work of authorship and those incorporated herein were prepared by Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC (CNS) as accounts of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government under contract DE-NA0001942. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor CNS, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, use made, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency or contractor thereof, or by CNS. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency or contractor thereof, or by CNS.