

---

# Hazard Assessments & Criticality Safety Evaluations

---

Bob Wilson

June 2010 NCSD workshop

---

# Criticality Safety Evaluations

## Purpose

- Risk Management
    - Personnel Retention dominant issue
    - Legal
    - Financial
  - Elements
    - Analyze what can go wrong
    - Provide adequate controls to manage the risk
    - Provide path to recovery if upset occurs
-

---

# Is Hazard Assessment a current issue?

- Recent site NCS assessments
    - missing credible scenarios
    - Poorly documented HA
  - Oak Ridge 2008 workshop concerns
    - Facility and Operations manager complaints
    - Scenarios missed or assumptions not documented
      - Personnel risk
      - Work stoppage
-

---

# Understand what can go Wrong

## Criteria

- ANS 8.1, #4.1.2 “...it **shall be determined** that the entire process will be subcritical under both normal and credible abnormal conditions.”
  - How do we determine the suite of credible abnormal conditions that must be subcritical?
    - Is personal experience and conversations with potential handlers enough?
    - Is a structured HA approach needed?
-

---

# Understand what can go Wrong

## Criteria

- ANS 8.19, #8.3 “The nuclear criticality safety evaluation shall be documented with **sufficient detail, clarity and lack of ambiguity to allow independent judgment of the results....**”
    - Does this clear, unambiguous and detailed document need a structured or disciplined argument?
    - Do we know when we have said enough about scenarios deemed incredible?
-

---

# Analysis Basics

- **Where do the pipes go?**
    - Facility and Proposed Operation well understood and well described
    - Facility and operation configuration controlled
  - **What can go wrong?**
    - Develop accident scenarios
    - Determine which accident are credible and unacceptable
  - **Are the Barriers to the unacceptable adequate?**
    - Develop administrative or engineered barriers for each scenario remaining
    - Evaluate each barrier for quality
  - **Will barriers go away?**
    - Are requirements for training, maintenance, COOP, etc. necessary?
-

# Some Methods

	<b>What-If</b>	<b>FMEA</b>	<b>HAZOP</b>	<b>Event Tree</b>	<b>Fault Tree</b>
<b>Process</b>	<b>Checklist</b>				
<b>Strength</b>		<b>Mechanical System</b>			
<b>Application</b>			<b>Procedure based or continuous operation</b>		
<b>Effort</b>				<b>Moderate</b>	

---

# Helpful Examples?

- NCSD white paper on Criticality Safety Evaluations
  - NSET module 12
  - Proposed NCSP data base
    - See Lori Scott
  - Hopefully this workshop
-