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Purpose of the project

• Several guidance documents exist for performing validation for NCS applications

• Most frequently cited documents:
  – Were published in the late 1990s or early 2000s
  – Do not incorporate sensitivity/uncertainty (S/U) methods

• NRC reviews have identified issues:
  – Inappropriate experiment selection
  – Trending analysis lacking or insufficient
  – Calculation and/or application of bias and bias uncertainty
  – Gaps and weaknesses in validation or documentation
Purpose of project – what it is

• Provide updated guidance on performing validation of computational methods for use in NCS applications
• Consolidate guidance from several different documents
• Improve (clarify) guidance in some areas and expanded guidance in limited, specific areas as discussed later
• Develop guidance that is applicable to all systems containing fissile material
Purpose of project – what it is not

The project is not intended to:

- Eliminate currently acceptable approaches to validation
- Address isotopic validation for burnup credit applications
- Add burdensome requirements
- Introduce significant changes to current validation guidance
Reference documents included

• Validation guidance documents
  – NUREG/CR-6361 (Lichtenwalter, Bowman, DeHart, Hopper)
  – NUREG/CR-6698 (Dean and Tayloe), similar to Savannah River methods documented in Kimball and Trumble’s 1997 NCSD paper

• S/U method documents
  – SCALE 6 NT article (Rearden, Williams, Jessee, Mueller, Wiarda)
  – 2004 NS&E article (Broadhead, Rearden, Hopper, Wagschal, Parks)
  – 2015 NS&E article on Whisper methodology (Kiedrowski and Brown)
Areas for improved guidance

• Improved guidance should help both applicants and reviewers

• Trending analysis:
  – No guidance is currently available in the open literature
  – Accepting/rejecting trends using rigorous statistical tests

• Normality testing:
  – Many methods require normal distributions
  – Comparison of statistical tests

• NOTE: Detailed guidance is not written, reviewed, or approved by NRC staff so it will not be discussed at this time
Plans for NUREG/CR document

• Draft NUREG/CR due to NRC by the end of October, 2017

• NRC’s review, comment resolution, and publication process will take weeks to months

• Final publication of NUREG/CR is anticipated in 2018
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