### METHODOLOGY FOR VALIDATING MINOR ACTINIDE FISSION CROSS SECTIONS

Christopher van der Hoeven Erich Schneider

Nuclear and Radiation Engineering Program The University of Texas at Austin

#### **O**VERVIEW

- Introduction
- JAEA Foundational Work
- Work Description
- Results
- Conclusions and Continuing Work

#### INTRODUCTION

- As the nuclear industry moves towards a closed fuel cycle increased attention has been paid to the accuracy of the current evaluated nuclear data for the minor actinides.
- The purpose of this work was to create a simple method for identifying and predicting potential corrections to the high energy fission cross-sections of Np-237, Pu-238, Pu-242, Am-241, Am-243, and Cm-244.

# FOUNDATIONAL JAEA EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- Undertaken by S. Okajima, M. Fukushima, T. Mukaiyama at the Fast Critical Assembly of the Japan Atomic Energy Agency.
- Eight test cores of varying spectra hardness were constructed. Actinide foil samples were irradiated within these cores, and their fission rates relative to Pu-239 were measured.

• S. OKAJIMA, M. FUKUSHIMA, T. MUKAIYAMA, "Benchmark Test for TRU Nuclear Data by Analysis of Central Fission Rate Ratios Measured at FCA Cores," *International Conference on the Physics of Reactors*, Interlaken, Switzerland, September 14-19, 2008

#### FCA CORE CALCULATED SPECTRA



### WORK DESCRIPTION

- Using ENDF-B/VI.6 and ENDF-B/V data, MCNPX was used to fold the spectra from each core with the fission cross section for each of the minor actinides.
- The MCNPX simulated reaction rates differed from the experimental relative reaction rates by as much as 9.3%. It was desirable to identify the energy groups where erroneous nuclear data was inducing the discrepancy and to estimate the magnitude of the error.

### WORK DESCRIPTION

- Tallies were used to compute group averaged fission cross-sections for each minor actinide for the energy ranges 10 ev - 1 keV, 1 keV - 100 keV, 100 keV - 1 MeV, 1 MeV - 10 MeV
- $\bullet$  Each group cross-section was multiplied by a constant to be determined, referred to as  $\lambda_4$  through  $\lambda_1$  .

### WORK DESCRIPTION

$$\min \sum_{j=1}^{8} \left( \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_{i} \hat{\sigma}_{i,j} \phi_{i,j} \right] - \left[ RR_{j} \right] \right)^{2}$$

- $\hat{\sigma}_{i,j}$  is the spectrum-weighted cross section obtained via an MCNPX-assisted group collapse of the ENDF data.
- $\phi_{i,j}$  is the i<sup>th</sup> group flux for the j<sup>th</sup> experimental trial.
- $RR_{j}$  is the measured reaction rate reported.





### AM-243 ENDF-B/VI.6 COLLAPSED CROSS-SECTIONS



#### NEXT STEP

• The lambda values calculated are tied to the group structure chosen.

• Therefore, by varying the group structure repeatedly it may be possible to determine more accurately corrections to specific energy ranges.

## AM-243 $\wedge$ -Values for varying group structures



### CONCLUSIONS AND CONTINUING WORK

• To within the accuracy of the experimental JAEA data it seems the nuclear data for Np-237 is acceptable.

- The nuclear data for the other actinides appears to be incorrect by between 5% and 10%, especially in the fission threshold region.
- Further work will focus on the possibility of incorrect placement of the fission threshold, as well as the cross-section magnitude following the threshold.

### CONCLUSIONS AND CONTINUING WORK

• The methodology described here applies when the use of very small samples of material decouples the flux spectra and the uncertain cross sections.

- Due to the limited number of available data points, the energy binning used in this research was coarse.
- Additionally, this work will be repeated using the ENDF/B-VII data and compared to the ENDF/B-VI.6 results.

#### • Questions?

### APPENDIX

#### • Complete Results















### $\frac{NP-237}{\Lambda-VALUES} \text{ for VARYING GROUP} STRUCTURES$



## $\begin{array}{l} Pu-238 \; \Lambda \text{-} Values \; \text{for varying group} \\ \text{Structures} \end{array}$



## $\begin{array}{l} Pu\text{-}242 \text{ $\Lambda$-}Values for varying group} \\ \text{Structures} \end{array}$



## AM-241 $\Lambda$ -Values for varying group structures



## CM-244 A-Values for varying group Structures

