# Results of Critical Experiments on Water-Moderated Fully-Reflected 6.90% Enriched UO<sub>2</sub> Fuel Pin Arrays with a Fuel-to-Water Volume Ratio of 0.52

# ANS NCSD2013

Wilmington, NC October 1, 2013

#### Gary A. Harms, Allison D. Miller, and John T. Ford Sandia National Laboratories

SAND2013-8211C





Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

# Summary of the paper

- We have completed a second set of experiments in the Seven Percent Critical Experiment (7uPCX)
- We completed an evaluation of the experiments as criticality safety benchmark experiments
- The reviews of the benchmark evaluation have been completed
- The evaluation will be published in the 2013 edition of the International Handbook of Evaluated Criticality Benchmark Experiments as LEU-COMP-THERM-078 (LCT078)
- This presentation is a brief tour of the experiments



# The Seven Percent Critical Experiment (7uPCX) is a NERI project



Project Objective: Design, perform, and analyze critical benchmark experiments for validating reactor physics methods and models for fuel enrichments greater than 5-wt% <sup>235</sup>U

- We built new 7% enriched experiment fuel
- We built critical assembly hardware to accommodate the new core
- The core is a 45x45 array of rods to simulate 9 commercial fuel elements in a 3x3 array
- The experiment is a reactor physics experiment as well as a critical experiment
- Additional measurements can be made
  - Fission density profiles
  - Poison worth
  - Effect of water holes



2<sup>nd</sup> set of 7uPCX Experiments – p. 3

#### The critical assembly in person





Sandia National Laboratories



# The Shut-Down Configuration of the Assembly



Fuel: 12 - CE/SE only k<sub>eff</sub> ≈ 0.139 Safety Elements: Down Control Element: Down Core Tank: Empty Personnel: Allowed

In this condition, the assembly is "shut down." Entry into the reactor room is allowed. The control system need not be manned. Fuel may be added to or removed from the array.



#### **The Desired Fuel Array is Complete**



Fuel: 1136 k<sub>eff</sub> ≈ 0.140 Safety Elements: Down Control Element: Down Core Tank: Empty Personnel: Allowed In this condition, the assembly is

"shut down." Entry into the reactor room is allowed. The control system need not be manned. Fuel may be added to or removed from the array.



## The Safety Elements are Up



Fuel: 1136 k<sub>eff</sub> ≈ 0.128 Safety Elements: Up Control Element: Down Core Tank: Empty Personnel: Allowed

In this condition, the assembly is "operating" and a qualified operator must be at the controls at all times. Entry into the reactor room is allowed. Fuel may be added to or removed from the array.



## The Core Tank is Full



## The Assembly Reaches Its Most Reactive State



Fuel: 1136 k<sub>eff</sub> ≈ 0.998 Safety Elements: Up Control Element: Up Core Tank: Full Personnel: Excluded

With all control and safety elements up and full reflection (>6 in. of water on all sides), this is the highest reactivity state of the assembly. Multiplication measurements are made in this configuration.



## Loading the core





# **Approach to Critical**

- We determine critical conditions for a given set of assembly conditions in an "approach-to-critical" experiment
- The goal of the experiment is to find the conditions where the multiplication of the assembly is infinite
- Under those conditions, the inverse of the multiplication is zero
- Count-rate measurements are made on the assembly as the approach variable is changed to make the system more reactive
- When the assembly is nearly critical, the count rates follow the assembly multiplication
- At delayed critical, the multiplication and the count rates are infinite the inverses are ZERO
- Estimates are made of the critical condition of the assembly by projecting inverse count rates to zero



# Inverse count rates during the approach to critical for LCT078 Case 1



## Calculating keff from approach data



## Calculating keff from approach data

The method yields an estimate of  $N_{DC}$  for every two pairs of count rate measurements. The reactivity at loading  $N_n$  is obtained from:

$$\rho_n = (N_n - N_{DC(n)}) \left[ \frac{\Delta \rho}{\Delta N_{rod}} \right]_n$$



## Calculating keff from approach data

n

<-effective

The method yields an estimate of  $N_{DC}$  for every two pairs of count rate measurements. The reactivity at loading  $N_n$  is obtained from:

$$\rho_n = (N_n - N_{DC(n)}) \left[ \frac{\Delta \rho}{\Delta N_{rod}} \right]$$

Invert the definition of the reactivity to get  $k_{eff}$  at  $N_n$ 

$$k_n = \frac{1}{1 - \rho_n}$$



## A completed core (LCT078 Case 11)





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 1



1057 rods k<sub>eff</sub> = 0.9995





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 2





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 3





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 4





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 5





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 6





#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 7





aboratories

#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Cases 7 and 1



#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Cases 7 and 11



#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Cases 7 and 11



#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Cases 8 and 12



#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Cases 9 and 13



#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Cases 10 and 14



#### LEU-COMP-THERM-078 Case 15



872 rods k<sub>eff</sub> = 0.9996



#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 15





872 rods k<sub>eff</sub> = 0.9996



#### **LEU-COMP-THERM-078** Case 15





872 rods k<sub>eff</sub> = 0.9996



# The 7uPCX core at the end of an approach – LCT078 Case 15



aboratories

# The uncertainties in the benchmarks are relatively small

	Case 1	Case 15
Uncertainty Source	$\Delta \mathbf{k}_{eff}$	$\Delta \mathbf{k}_{eff}$
Pitch of Fuel Rods	0.00073	0.00069
Clad OD	-0.00010	-0.00008
Clad ID	-0.00001	-0.00001
Fuel Pellet OD	0.00000	0.00000
Water Depth	0.00000	0.00000
Rod Fuel Mass	0.00002	0.00002
Rod Fuel Length	0.00004	0.00003
Enrichment	0.00012	0.00013
<sup>234</sup> U	-0.00001	-0.00001
<sup>236</sup> U	-0.00001	-0.00001
UO <sub>2</sub> Stoichiometry	-0.00049	-0.00055
<b>Measured Fuel Impurities</b>	-0.00012	-0.00011
<b>Undetected Fuel Impurities</b>	-0.00010	-0.00007
Clad Composition	-0.00027	-0.00026
Grid Plate Composition	-0.00011	-0.00012
Water Composition	-0.00021	-0.00024
Temperature	-0.00005	-0.00004
Sum in Quadrature	0.0010	0.0010

# Reactivity Difference – KENO V.a + ENDF/B-VII.0 (MG) vs Benchmark Model k<sub>eff</sub>



The mean reactivity difference is about 3.2 × experiment uncertainty. The red error bars show the benchmark uncertainties.

The blue error bars show the stochastic uncertainties in the calculations.





# Reactivity Difference – KENO V.a + ENDF/B-VII.0 (CE) vs Benchmark Model k<sub>eff</sub>



The mean reactivity difference is about 1.8 × experiment uncertainty. The red error bars show the benchmark uncertainties.

The blue error bars show the stochastic uncertainties in the calculations.





# Reactivity Difference – MCNP5 + ENDF/B-VII.0 (CE) vs Benchmark Model k<sub>eff</sub>



The mean reactivity difference is about  $1.3 \times$  experiment uncertainty. The red error bars show the benchmark uncertainties.

The blue error bars show the stochastic uncertainties in the calculations.





# Conclusion

- We measured 15 7uPCX configurations with fuelto-water ratios of 0.52 (0.855 cm pitch)
- The 15 configurations are evaluated in LEU-COMP-THERM-078 in the forthcoming 2013 edition of *The International Handbook of Evaluated Criticality Safety Benchmark Experiments*



# Critical Experiments at Sandia

COLUMN ST