2017 NCSD Topical Meeting

Sept 10-15, 2017 Carlsbad, NM

Release of MCNP6.2 & Whisper-1.1 – Guidance for NCS Users

LA-UR-17-27992





Forrest Brown, Michael Rising, Jennifer Alwin

Monte Carlo Methods, Codes, & Applications (XCP-3) X Computational Physics Division

Release of MCNP6.2 & Whisper-1.1 – Guidance for NCS Users

- Introduction
- New General Features Relevant to NCS
- Changes in Nuclear Data Libraries
- Changes in MCNP6.2 Coding
- Guidance for NCS Practitioners

Introduction

- 2017 is the 70th anniversary of the first Monte Carlo code for particle transport
 - John von Neumann created the first MC code in 1947 for LANL
 - Targeted the Eniac, actually ran in 1948
- 2017 is the 40th anniversary of the MCNP code
 - The roots of MCNP extend back to von Neumann's original MC code
- Recent RSICC releases of MCNP

| MCNP5 | – 2003-2013, R.I.P. | | |
|-----------|--|---------|-----------------------|
| MCNP6.1 | – 2013, production version | | |
| MCNP6.1.1 | - 2014, same criticality, | faster, | beta features for DHS |

MCNP6.2 – 2017, includes Whisper code & benchmarks

When? Any day now. Endless delays in completing documentation.Would like to have the MCNP User Manual on the web, rather than a controlled publication

LA-UR-17-27992 4

New General Features Relevant to NCS

- MCNP6.2 performance
 - 1.5 2 times faster than MCNP6.1 for NCS applications
 - Slightly faster than MCNP6.1.1 and MCNP5-1.60
- Longer line-length for input files
 - Up to 128 characters per line [80 character limit for previous 40 yrs]
 - Can improve clarity of input files
- Longer filenames & command-lines
 - Filenames can have up to 256 characters
 - Command-line can have up to 4096 characters
- Logfile for installation & testing
 - Logfiles to document MCNP6.2 & Whisper-1.1 installation & testing
- Analytic Criticality Benchmark Suite
 - Now continuous-energy, not multigroup
 - <u>Exact</u> results for testing MCNP6.2 criticality algorithms

Whisper-1.1 Release

- Whisper-1.1 code
 - Upgrade: Whisper-1.0 (2014) to Whisper-1.1 (2016),
 Total, thorough line-by-line code review no bugs found
 - General performance improvements (threading)
 - Portable to Linux, Mac, Windows
- Utility scripts for ease-of-use (Linux, Mac, Windows)
 whisper_mcnp.pl setup & run MCNP6 for sensitivity-profile
 - whisper_usl.pl run Whisper to get baseline USLs
- Covariance data files
 - Low-fidelity BLO 44-group data, in new ACE format
- 1101 ICSBEP benchmark cases
 - MCNP input files
 - Catalog of sensitivity-profiles for every benchmark
- Documentation 70 reports

overview, theory, user manual, release notes, applications, nuclear covariance data, SQA, MCNP6 verification-validation, general references on adjoints/perturbation/sensitivity-analysis

Changes in Nuclear Data Libraries (1)

- MCNP6.2 release includes ENDF/B-VII.1 nuclear data
 - All older data is also still included
 - MCNP6.2 & Whisper-1.1 installation takes ~45 GB of disk space
 - ~37 GB is data ACE files
 - ~ 5 GB MCNP6.2 code, tests, V&V suites, Reference Collection
 - ~ 3 GB Whisper-1.1 code, benchmarks, sensitivity catalog, covariances
- ENDF/B-VIII.0 nuclear data targeted for release in December 2017
 - LANL Data Team is investigating web-based distribution, not DVDs
- 2 updates:
 - New Listing of Available ACE Data LA-UR-17-20709
 - New default XSDIR file for MCNP6.2 xsdir_mcnp6.2
- 3 corrections for data errors, with new ACE files:
 - Hydrogen (n,g) production data
 - SiO₂ S(α , β) Thermal Scattering Data
 - Zirc-Hydride $S(\alpha,\beta)$ thermal scattering data at 1200K

Changes in Nuclear Data Libraries (2)

- Revised Nuclear Data for Hydrogen
 - The ENDF/B-VII.1 ACE data files for hydrogen released with MCNP6.1 and MCNP6.1.1 did not include data for photon production.
 - BAD: ACE files 1001.80c through 1001.86c
 - (n,g) reactions were properly included in all relevant cross-sections, but production data for the number and energy of photons was not included
 - Updated ACE files for hydrogen
 - NEW: ACE files 1001.90c through 1001.96c
 - Identical to the previous hydrogen data, except that the photon production data is included
 - LANL testing does not show any differences in results for any of the problems in the Criticality V&V Suites
 - Only coupled neutron-photon calculations would be affected

Changes in Nuclear Data Libraries (3)

- SiO₂ S(α,β) Thermal Scattering Data
 - SiO₂ S(α , β) data released with MCNP6.1 and MCNP6.1.1 was incorrect, due to errors in the ENDF/B-VII.1 data at the time
 - BAD: ACE files sio2.30t through sio2.36t
 - The ENDF/B-VII.1 errors were corrected
 - NEW: ACE files sio2.10t through sio2.16
- Zirc-Hydride S(α , β) thermal scattering data at 1200K
 - ACE file for hydrogen S(α , β) at 1200K released with MCNP6.1 and MCNP6.1.1 was incorrect.
 - BAD: ACE file h-zr.27t
 - The errors were corrected
 - NEW: ACE file h-zr.28t

(1)

- In going from MCNP6.1 to MCNP6.2:
 - 1.5-2 times faster than MCNP6.1
 - Long input lines & filenames
 - Important changes for developers & SQA:
 - Fortran compiler
 - Compliance with Fortran 2003 International Standard
 - Software Quality Assurance
 - Non-numeric changes:
 - Warning issued if the neutrons/cycle is too small
 - Removal of limit on boundary-list entries for cell descriptions
 - More than 300 bugs were fixed, but only 3 are relevant to NCS applications:
 - Continuous S(α,β) numerics
 - k-adjoint first k-effective estimate
 - Coincident surface treatment

(2)

• Fortran compiler

- The production versions of MCNP6 have been compiled using the Intel Fortran compilers and the gcc compiler for C/C++ portions of the code.
 - MCNP6.1 and MCNP6.1.1 were built using Intel Fortran Version 12
 - MCNP6.2 was built using Intel Fortran Version 17
- Compliance with Fortran 2003 International Standard
 - MCNP6.2 source coding is now 100% compliant with the Fortran 2003 international standard.
 - In addition, standards-checking is always performed by the compilers for every build of MCNP6.2
- Software Quality Assurance
 - MCNP6.2, Whisper-1.1, the MCNP Reference Collection, and the MCNP data files are all maintained under strict SQA procedures.
 - All coding, data, and test problems are maintained using the *git* code management tools and the TeamForge configuration management suite for tracking all modifications, changes, and documents.

(3)

- Warning issued if the neutrons/cycle is too small
 - For the past 10 years, the MCNP developers have presented recommendations on "best practices". One of them:
 - Always use at least 10,000 neutrons/cycle in NCS calculations
 - Avoids nonconservative k_{eff} bias from the renormalization
 - MCNP6.2 checks that the number of neutrons/cycle is 10,000 or greater, and issues a warning message if that condition is not true:

warning. Using <10k neuts/cycle can give significant renormalization bias.

- Removal of limit on boundary-list entries for cell descriptions
 - In defining cells (regions) in the MCNP input, part of the input is a list of bounding surfaces, with + or – to indicate sense and possible parentheses and union operators.
 - Previously, boundary-list length was limited to 999 or 9,999 entries
 - In MCNP6.2 this limit was entirely eliminated dynamically determine the memory space needed

(4)

Continuous S(α,β) numerics

- MCNP6.1 had a small, rare error dealing with continuous-energy $S(\alpha,\beta)$ data:
 - For some S(α,β) datasets at very low energies (typically 10⁻⁵-10⁻⁴ eV), NJOY lumps together scattering probabilities smaller than 10⁻⁶.
 - MCNP6.1 did not handle that properly. Fixed in MCNP6.1.1.
 - Very small differences in a few problems
- After the release of MCNP6.1.1 with the $S(\alpha,\beta)$ fix, additional problems were found with rare round-off errors for certain $S(\alpha,\beta)$ datasets zr-h.20t and zr-h.30t. Would result in code crashes.
 - In continuous-energy sampling for the exit energy, round-off problems led to improper cancellation and the square root of a negative number.
- For MCNP6.2, additional round-off checks were introduced, and if needed the sampling is performed by a robust method that avoids negative square roots.
 - Since this round-off problem was extremely rare, the different robust method is only used if needed.
 - In nearly all cases, the previous method works correctly.
 - This hybrid approach was taken to avoid changing the random number usage for all MCNP problems. Only the rare problems affected by the round-off error use different random number sequences, hence verification-validation testing is unchanged except for a very few cases.

(5)

k-adjoint first k-effective estimate

- In the calculation of adjoint-weighted reactor kinetics parameters, an estimate of *Keff* for the previous block in the iterated fission probability method is needed
 - Originally, the block *Keff* estimate was initialized at the end of the block after the first adjoint-weighted tally scores were made.
 - Tallies for <u>first</u> block used *Keff* information from the <u>inactive</u> cycles, introducing a small bias. Other block tallies OK.
 - Shortly after the MCNP6.1.1 official release, the coding for this was fixed, with the block-estimate of *Keff* now initialized at the beginning of the block.

 This bug fix gives small changes in results for adjoint-weighted reactor kinetics parameters.

- Change is very small, generally much smaller than the statistics of the tallies computed.
- If a user is conservative when setting the number of inactive cycles (by discarding more cycles than necessary), this bug fix has no impact on the quality of the results.

(6)

- Coincident surface treatment (1)
 - The universe and fill concepts were introduced into MCNP in the late 1980s.
 - When defining a cell in MCNP input, the cell can be filled with a universe rather than a single homogeneous material.
 - We will refer to the cell being defined and filled as a *container* cell.
 - A universe is a collection of cells (tagged with the same u=n universe number n).
 - The problem with the original universe/fill treatment occurred when a bounding surface of one or more cells in a universe was coincident with one of the container bounding surfaces.
 - When this occurred, MCNP sometimes made a wrong decision on which surface a particle had hit (i.e., in a universe cell or the container cell), and lost particles or silent errors were the result.

(7)

• Coincident surface treatment (2)

- In the early 1990s, a "fix" for the coincident-surface problem was introduced, first appearing in the release of MCNP4C in 2000.
 - Unfortunately, **that fix was flawed**. It relied on preprocessing the bounding surface data for all cells and only considered coincident planes
 - Did not account for possible rotations that can be specified for filling a container with a (rotated) universe.
 - Thus, if a universe was rotated on-the-fly during tracking when filling a container cell, then lost particles or silent errors could be produced.
 - By accident, the coincident-surface fix worked correctly for 0° and 180° rotations, but was incorrect for all other rotations.
 - There was also an <u>absolute</u> tolerance of 0.0001 cm used in the scheme for selecting the surface that was hit. (The tolerance could be changed by the *dbcn(9)* input entry.)

(8)

Coincident surface treatment

- For MCNP6.2, the coincident surface treatment was revised.

- During tracking in a cell contained in a universe, the distances to the bounding surfaces at all universe levels are examined, and the minimum distance is retained.
 - Each distance has an associated level or depth, with level=0 the "real world," level=1 the next deeper universe in the geometry hierarchy, level=2 next deeper, etc.

(3)

- Then, to allow for round-off in the distance calculations, starting at the smallest depth or level (closest to 0), distances are examined in order of depth to see if they are within a <u>relative</u> tolerance of $\pm 10^{-6}$ from the minimum distance.
- If so, that distance is the one selected, and the remaining distances are ignored.
 - » A relative tolerance of ±10⁻⁶ is entirely plausible and consistent as an estimate of possible round-off in the distance calculations that are performed using 53-bit-precision IEEE standard arithmetic.
- Retaining the smallest distance (within the round-off tolerance) at the leastdeep level is what is desired.
 - » Note that this distance may actually be larger than the distance at a different (deeper) level, but is the correct logical choice given arithmetic round-off.
- This choice prevents the selection of an incorrect surface distance.

(9)

• Coincident surface treatment (4)

- The newly revised coincident-surface treatment is the default for MCNP6.2, with a default relative tolerance for distance round-off checking of 10⁻⁶.
- The older, flawed treatment can be used instead if desired, by setting dbcn(100) to a nonzero value.

The use of the *dbcn(100)* option to choose between old and new coincident-surface treatments is provided for a limited time, to permit users to run a problem either way for verification purposes. It is likely that this option will be removed in the next future release (after MCNP6.2).

- For either the new or old treatment, the default for checking distance round-off can be overridden by setting *dbcn(9)*
 - If the newer treatment is used, the *dbcn(9)* value is a <u>relative tolerance</u> for round-off checking. The default is **10**-6
 - If the **older** treatment is used, the *dbcn(9)* value is an <u>absolute distance</u> for round-off checking. The default is **0.0001 cm**

(10)

- Coincident surface treatment (5)
 - It is unavoidable that some, but not all, problems that use the universe/ fill capabilities will show different results with the new coincidentsurface treatment versus the old one.
 - Due to different approaches to dealing with arithmetic round-off in distance calculations.
 - The new coincident surface logic prevents errors when rotated fills are used and is the preferred treatment.
 - In our testing experience, both new and old treatments give the same results within statistics for all problems that do not involve rotated fills.
 - For problems with rotated fills and coincident-surfaces, the new approach was correct, and the old approach was incorrect.
 - For problems that do not use universe/fill capabilities, these changes have of course no effect on results

(1)

- NCS practitioners should be aware of the following items related to changes in MCNP6.2, relative to the previous versions MCNP6.1 and MCNP6.1.1:
 - MCNP6.2 includes all of the standard features for NCS calculations that have been available for the past 15 years
 - Only a few minor bug-fixes or enhancements were made to MCNP6.2
 - MCNP6.2 was thoroughly verified against previous versions.
 - Reference: LA-UR-17-23822 in MCNP Reference Collection on website
 - In very many cases, results from MCNP6.2 will match exactly results from MCNP6.1 or MCNP6.1.1
 - In some cases results may differ but agree within combined statistical uncertainties.
 - All things considered, MCNP6.2 results are as reliable or more reliable than any previous release of MCNP.
 - An immediate benefit is that MCNP6.2 is 1.5-2 times faster for NCS

(2)

- NCS practitioners should be aware of the few instances where ACE data files were corrected and new versions released.
 - zirc-hydride S(α , β) data at high temperatures
 - (n,g) photon production data for hydrogen, affects coupled neutron-photon calculations
 - SiO₂ S(α , β) data
- The coding changes to MCNP6.2 physics are relatively insignificant.
 - Corrections to the S(α , β) thermal scattering numerics are generally negligible relative to problem statistics (or, in rare cases, prevent aborts).
 - Similarly, the changes to adjoint-weighting for computing kinetics parameters may result in small differences, generally negligible compared to problem statistics.

(3)

- The change to the MCNP6.2 geometry treatment to correctly handle coincident surfaces in problems with *universe/fill* features will produce different round-off in the geometry tracking.
 - Differences in results, small relative to problem statistics, not a concern.
 - Any large differences indicate possible (undetected) errors in older versions of MCNP.
 - If any such large differences are found, NCS practitioners should not hesitate to contact the MCNP developers for assistance in further diagnosing the differences.
- It is standard practice for NCS work that only validated computer codes, data, and computer systems be used.
 - In verifying and validating MCNP6.2, NCS practitioners should carefully consider and review the verification-validation work reported by the MCNP developers (LA-UR-17-23822), as well as the updates to the ACE nuclear data libraries.

(4)

- NCS practitioners are encouraged to install and test the new release of MCNP6.2, with a goal of adopting it as soon as practical.
- Note that the last version of MCNP5 was released in 2010, and MCNP6.1 was released in 2013.
- Due to resource limitations, versions of MCNP that are more than 5 years old are not supported by the MCNP Team at LANL.