#### ANS 2017 NCS Division Topical Meeting



INVESTIGATION OF REACTIVITY DIFFERENCES IN CYLINDER ARRAYS USING VARIOUS FILL GEOMETRIES WITH CONSTANT MASS

Quentin Newell URENCO USA Charlotta Sanders UNLV/Sanders Engineering



Howard R. Hughes College of ENGINEERING

Copyright © 2016 URENCO Limited

#### **Overview**



- Introduction
- Methodology
  - Computer Code
  - Modelling Scenarios
    - 30B Cylinders Completely Filled
    - 30B Cylinders Normally Filled (material in bottom of cylinder)
    - 30B Cylinders Completely Filled with Void Space
- Results
  - Reactivity Effects of Various UF<sub>6</sub> Cylinder Array Models
- Conclusions



- Ensuring systems stay subcritical is of utmost importance to the safe operation of a facility.
- Relatively straight forward for simple or isolated systems.
- Array configurations can be challenging and present hidden complications not seen in simpler systems.
  - Competing effects between interaction, moderation, and reflection
    - Characterization to any one given factor more difficult
    - Effects can lead to unanticipated trends in array systems



- Investigating different modeling configurations is vital to ensuring subcritical configurations.
  - Also helps capture the peak reactivity of the system
- The reactivity differences in cylinder arrays with constant mass using three different modeling techniques is investigated herein.
- Outcome helps demonstrate the capabilities of stacking UF<sub>6</sub> cylinders – increased storage options, reduced storage footprint, thus reduced facility cost.

# Methodology



- Monte Carlo computer code MONK8A along with JEF2.2 cross section library was utilized.
  - 30 skipped cycles; 1,000 active cycles; 4,000 neutrons per cycle; 0.0005 standard deviation
- 30B cylinders were modeled with the following dimensions:
  - Diameter = 30 in.; Length = 76 in.; Nominal wall thickness = 0.5 in.
- Cylinders filled with 2,300kg of UF<sub>6</sub> at 6 wt% with an H/U=0.088.

# Methodology



- Various UF<sub>6</sub> fill geometries considered.
  - Completely filled cylinder (reduced density)
  - Normally filled cylinder material in bottom of cylinder (density=5.075 g/cm<sup>3</sup>)
  - Completely filled cylinder mixed with void space (density=5.075 g/cm<sup>3</sup>)
- Cylinders evaluated in a semi-infinite (infinite x and y, four cylinders high in z) triangular pitch array.
  - Cylinder pitch inside array was varied between 0 and 20 cm
  - Mist density of 0.01 g/cm<sup>3</sup> surrounding array

### **Modeling Scenarios**





**Completely Filled Cylinder Model** 

Scenario 1 Bounding case for 30B cylinder arrays



Nominally Filled Cylinder Model

Scenario 2

#### **Represents homogenization** (after heating UF<sub>6</sub> cylinder)



**Completely Filled Cylinder Model with Void Space** 

Scenario 3



# Results –30B Cylinder Array Models



#### Reactivity Results of Various UF<sub>6</sub> Cylinder Array Models Mist Density of 0.01 g/cm<sup>3</sup>







- Results indicate that Scenario 1 (completely filled cylinders) and Scenario 3 (completely filled cylinder; UF<sub>6</sub> mixed with void space) in an array configuration are statistically equivalent.
- Scenarios 1 and 3 produce higher reactivity compared to Scenario 2 (bottom filled cylinder).
  - Approximately  $\Delta k_{eff} = 0.008$

# Conclusions



- Three different modeling scenarios have been investigated:
  - 1. Completely filled cylinders with reduced density
  - 2. UF<sub>6</sub> on the bottom of cylinder at nominal density
  - 3. Completely filled cylinder mixed with void space at nominal density
- Highest reactivity of the 30B array system is produced when the UF<sub>6</sub> mass completely fills the cylinder (Scenarios 1 and 3).
  - Trend consistent with previous studies performed by ORNL (ORNL/TM-11947)
  - Additional studies performed by authors show that uniform, completely filled, modeling approach in an array configuration most reactive

### **Future Work**



- Note that results herein are only for a cylinder fill mass of 2,300 kg (represents ANSI-N14.1 transportation fill limit) and one mist density/reflection condition.
  - Future work should determine if same trends exist with various mass and/or reflection conditions



# The authors wish to thank URENCO USA for their support in performing this work

