





# BENCHMARKING OF ACTIVATION RATE IN SPECIAL CORE IN LR-0 REACTOR





Michal Kostal, Evžen Losa, <u>Vlastimil Juříček</u>, Martin Schulc, Vojtěch Rypar





LR-0 reactor, Research Centre Rez







- LR-0 reactor introduction
- Background experiments for benchmarking
- Reaction rates measurements
- Validation of SACS/PFNS
- Conclusions



### **Research Centre Rez**





Located in narrow Vltava river valley near Prague (Czech capital) in central Europe

5ø52n





- Member of the UJV Group
- Major research infrastructure
- ~350 experts, engineers and technicians
- Partner of universities in educating new generation of experts
- Member of international research consorcia in European research programmes



#### **Research Infrastructure**







#### RESEARCH REACTOR LVR-15

#### RESEARCH REACTOR LR-0



Technological Loops / SCWL, HTHL

Other laboratories



### **LR-0** reactor



- Flexible reactor with flexible core arrangements
- Well defined fuel and components => benchmarking of many experiments (insertion cores with graphite and FLINA will be evaluated in 2017 IRPhE meeting)





#### Experimental possibilities :

- VVER-1000 physics
- Insertion core physics

#### Used methods:

- N/G spectroscopy for ex-core and in-core measurement
  - Stilbene (neutron 0.8 20 MeV; gamma 0.1 10 MeV)
  - Hydrogen proportional counter (0.1 MeV 1.3 MeV)
- Gamma spectroscopy (HPGe) for irradiated samples and fuel measurement
- Measurement of delayed neutrons
- Measurement of critical parameters





#### • Keff

 Small cores, VVER-1000 Mock-Up – measurement of effect of various disturbances

#### Neutron spectra

- Positions in simulators of VVER-1000 structural components
- Material insertions in central position of special cores
- Leakage spectra (Fe, Ni spheres in Cf experiments)

#### • Reaction rates

- Activation monitors in VVER-1000 structural components
- Activation of fuel (fission density profiles with selected fission products)
- Activation monitors in special irradiation cavity of small cores



## **Experimental arrangement**



- Irradiated fuel measurement lab
- Irradiated samples measurement lab
- LSNM lab (neutron sources, Fe, Ni spheres)









# Neutron and gamma spectroscopy for in-core measurements

- New digital aparatus (high count rate)
- Neutron and gamma fluxes measurement
- Organic scintillators
- Excellent calibration field 1m Si







- Reference neutron field (verified fission distribution, spectra and reactivity parameters) The used core is accepted as ICSBEP benchmark
- Well defined target/detector arrangement



# **Reactivity insertions**



- Critical parameters measurements for every case will give extensive database for evaluation
  - Comparison to empty channel
  - Comparison to full graphite channel
- Study of transient processes help to evaluate delayed neutron characteristics with different central channel reflector





Fuel
 Dry

 instrumentation
 channel
 Spacing grid
 Moderator level
 Fuel nest
 supporting plate
 Dry experimental
 channel
 Graphite insertion

## **Insertion cores – effect on driver core**

**Graphite position** 





	Hcr [cm]	δρ/δH [pcm/cm]	ENDF/B- VII.0	JEFF-3.1	JENDL-3.3
-	55.65	257.36	183	50	-92
G	53.34	294.85	173	37	-126
F G	51.08	254.99	158	4	-116
E F G	49.13	263.29	134	2	-122
DEFG	47.45	360.46	138	13	-168
C D E F G	45.84	362.2	111	-5	-163
B C D E F G	44.43	368.65	168	35	-129
A B C D E F G	43.29	438.26	134	-10	-141
Α	54.07	271.91	200	58	-67
B D F	48.9	216.09	99	-32	-179
C E G	48.89	242.51	120	-29	-197
B C D	48.85	247.89	112	-16	-172

•Kostal et al, Ann. Nucl. Energy, 87 (2016), pp 601–611

11

12

# **Fission profile validation**

- HPGe spectroscopy of irradiated fuel (possible markers: hours living <sup>92</sup>Sr, <sup>91</sup>Sr, <sup>135</sup>I, <sup>88</sup>Kr or week living <sup>103</sup>Ru, <sup>140</sup>Ba, <sup>131</sup>I, <sup>95</sup>Zr
- With use of calculated correction factors arbitrary fission density profile can be determined
  - Correction to collimator transmission effect (inflections in axial fission distribution)
  - Correction to spectra shift (yield vs. spectra shape moderated in water fast in dry fuel lattice)



# **Definition of N/G field**

### The field is defined by

- Flux distribution (reaction rates of activation monitors Au, Ni)
- Neutron spectra
- Gamma spectra (it was proven that (g,n) is negligible
- Connection to emission density profile
- Keff (models assume critical reactor)





# Neutron spectra in special core



#### Neutrons in fuel

- 235U fission neutrons
- 238U fission neutrons
- Delay neutrons
- Neutrons from (n,2n) reactions
- Gamma fission neutrons effect is negligible
- Same shape of 235-238U

Type of origin	235U	238	
fission	0.93857	0.05348	
delay	0.00614	0.00083	
n,2n	2.5E-5	0.00093	
photo-fission	5.9E-7	1.2E-5	







# Neutron spectra in central cavity



### Neutrons in irradiation cavity

- The emission neutron spectra is nearly same as 235U PFNS but it is affected by core components
  - Neutron transport in fuel
  - Neutron transport in moderator
  - Neutron transport in core structural components





# Spectra in special core II.



- C-E Normalization 6 10 MeV
- The calibration was tested in Si beam
- In the LR-0 the neutrons have about 45 deg angle to optical axis (the effect of anisotropy was tested in Si beam as well)









- Reference neutron field (verified fission distribution, spectra and reactivity parameters) The used core is accepted as ICSBEP benchmark
- Well defined target/detector arrangement



# **Correct determination of target gamma activity**

- Most important is detector sensitivity !!!
- Large sample needs proper detector characterization (calculated efficiency)
  - Experimentally measured insensitive layer and detector dimensions





# **Definition of target**

#### Important for correct definion of gamma source in HPGe (assuming low XS and homogenous product distribution as n/g field is homogenous )



#### Parasitic gammas effect

- Impurities
- Concurrent reactions ... 23Na(n,2n) x 23Na(n,g)







#### Coincidences decreases true count rate

$$A(E) = \frac{C(E).\lambda}{\varepsilon(E) \cdot \eta^{P}(E) \cdot T_{C} \cdot (1 - e^{-\lambda TR}) \cdot F^{S}(E)}$$

# Easily defined for point source

$$F^{S}(909.keV) = 1 - 0.4548 \times \eta^{T}(511.keV)$$



$$A(909keV) \approx \frac{1}{\eta^{P}(909keV) \cdot (1 - 0.4548 \times \eta^{T}(511.keV))}$$

Point approx.0.969Volume source0.961





#### Determined calculationally as 235U SACS and SACS





6	

			C/E-1 of reaction rates with IRDFF XS	
	E 50% [MeV]	<sup>235</sup> U SACS [barn]	ENDF/B-VII	CIELO
<sup>75</sup> As(n,2n)	12.67	0.323	-4.6%	-1.2%
<sup>89</sup> Y(n,2n)	13.70	0.172	-9.1%	0.5%
<sup>90</sup> Zr(n,2n)	14.22	0.107	-12.2%	-0.4%
<sup>23</sup> Na(n,2n)	15.23	0.004	-12.5%	6.7%



# Conclusions



- Spectrum averaged cross sections of 23Na(n,2n), 90Zr(n,2n), 89Y(n,2n), 75As(n,2n) were derived from measurement of spectra and reaction rates in LR-0.
- Results are in good agreement with previously published data.
- The measured reaction rates were used to validate PFNS data from CIELO and ENDF/B-VII.
- CIELO shows good agreement while ENDF systematically underestimates the reaction rates, which might indicate problems of PNFS in the high energy region above 10MeV.





# Thank you for your attention

Vlastimil.Juricek@cvrez.cz

