IRS IN INSTITUT DE RADIOPROTECTION ET DE SÛRETÉ NUCLÉAIRE

Enhancing nuclear safety

Differential and Integral Data Evaluation: An Application to Criticality Safety

> ANS Winter Meeting Washington DC October 29 - November 2, 2017

IRSN / PSN-EXP/SNC L. LEAL, S. PIGNET, N. LECLAIRE, I. DUHAMEL and G. HARMS (SNL) © IRSN





Motivation for evaluating titanium

Differential and Integral Data Evaluation

⁴⁸Ti Resonance Evaluation

Benchmark Application: VALDUC (France) and SNL(US) experiments

Concluding Remarks

Motivation

- Address criticality safety issues of the Actinide Removal Process (ARP) facility at the Savannah River Site;
- Monosodium Titanate (MST, <u>NaHTi₂O₂</u>) is added to the diluted salt solution to adsorb soluble radionuclides including uranium and plutonium;
- Existing ENDF Titanium cross sections and uncertainties used in the ARP criticality calculations were investigated;
- Evaluation (including covariance) done with the computer code SAMMY;



• Differential data $\sigma(E) \pm \delta \sigma$ • Integral data $R \pm \delta R$

IRSI

Titanium thermal cross section (Barn Book)

Isotope Name	Abundance (%)	σ _γ (barns) (thermal) 0.0253 eV	δσ _γ , σ _γ (%)
⁴⁶ Ti	8.25	0.59 ± 0.18	30.5
47 Ti	7.44	1.63 ± 0.04	2.4
48 Ti	73.72	8.32 ± 0.16	1.9
⁴⁹ Ti	5.41	1.87 ± 0.04	2.2
⁵⁰ Ti	5.18	0.18 ± 0.03	16.7

Existing ENDF ⁴⁸Ti Uncertainty



Capture cross section uncertainty <u>~12 to 18 %</u> According to the Barn Book the thermal capture cross section uncertainty <u>~2 %</u>

⁴⁸Ti Resonance Evaluation **Evaluation tool: SAMMY** Energy range: Thermal to 400 KeV Experimental Data Base: transmission (total cross section), capture cross section* **Resonance Formalism: R-Matrix (Reich-**Moore) Final Results: Resonance Parameters (RP) and **Resonance Parameter Covariance (RPCM)**

*Limited capture cross section measurements available

Experimental Data Base (measurements done at ORELA)					
Data Set	Energy Range (keV)	Flight Path (meters)	Thickness (at/b)		
Natural Titanium					
Transmission (${oldsymbol \sigma}_{t}$)	0.01 - 500.0	79.827	0.052966		
Transmission (σ_t)	0.01 - 500.0	79.827	0.008785		
Capture ($\sigma_\gamma)$	0.01 - 500.0	40.116	0.035158		
Enriched ⁴⁸ Ti (99.32 %)					
Transmission (σ_t)	0.01 - 500.0	79.827	0.028185		
Transmission (σ_t)	0.01 - 500.0	79.827	0.0011821		
Capture (σ_{γ})	0.01 - 500.0	40.116	0.0091386		

Fitting of the total and capture cross sections



Uncertainty and correlation for the capture cross section







New evaluation leads to a capture cross section uncertainty of ~2.8 % at thermal

Cross section at thermal (0.0253 eV) and capture resonance integral					
	Quantity	ENDF/B-VII.0	New ⁴⁸ Ti Evaluation ENDF/B-VIII.0		
	σ_{γ}	7.84	8.32 ± 0.23* (~2.8 %)		
	σ _s	4.36	4.04 ± 0.20		
	σ_{t}	12.20	12.35 ± 0.30		
	Ι _γ	3.68	3.78 ± 0.17		

*Uncertainty derived from the data evaluation

L. Leal et al., ANS Winter Meeting, Washington DC, October 29 - November 2, 2017

Test of the evaluation on benchmark calculations

Nine benchmark experiments taken in the US (SNL)

Four experiments carried out in France (Valduc)

Integral Benchmark Experiments Experiments in the US: Nine benchmark experiments Performed at SNL on the SPRF/CX facility. Experiments included in the ICSBEP handbook: LEU-COMP-THERM-097





L. Leal et al., ANS Winter Meeting, Washington DC, October 29 - November 2, 2017

Integral Benchmark Experiments Experiments in France: Four experiments carried out at CEA/Valduc Apparatus B under the MIRTE program. Experiments included in the ICSBEP handbook: LEU-COMP-THERM-074





14

k_{eff} sensitivity to the capture cross section of ⁴⁸Ti for two MIRTE benchmark experiments



C/E Results for the Revised ⁴⁸Ti evaluation



- **Concluding Remarks**
- Issues with titanium evaluation for criticality safety addressed;
- Evaluation of differential data carried out using the Rmatrix formalism;
- Covariance based on experimental data generated. Revised ⁴⁸Ti covariance leads to smaller uncertainty in the k_{eff} ;
- Benchmark testing using criticality benchmark experiments from Valduc (France) and SNL (US) indicate that differential data and evaluation improved integral results;
- The results demonstrate the importance of differential and data for nuclear data validation;

RSI

FLOW DIAGRAM



18